



Australian Government

Department of Finance

The Treasury

PUBLIC RELEASE OF 2016 ELECTION COMMITMENT COSTING

Name of proposal costed: Continued funding for Beef Week	
Costing Identifier:	COA 038
Summary of costing:	The proposal is to provide \$1.0 million over four years to support the delivery of the Casino Beef Week event in Casino, New South Wales.
Person making the request:	Prime Minister
Date costing request received:	27/06/2016
Date of public release of policy:	23/06/2016
Date costing completed:	30/06/2016
Additional information requested (including date):	Not applicable.
Additional information received (including date):	Not applicable.

Financial implications (outturn prices)^(a)

Impact on	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Underlying Cash Balance (\$m)	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3
Fiscal Balance (\$m)	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3

(a) A positive number for the fiscal balance indicates an increase in revenue or a decrease in expenses or net capital investment in accrual terms. A positive number for the underlying cash balance indicates an increase in revenue or a decrease in expenses or net capital investment in cash terms.

Where relevant, state that the proposal has been costed as a defined or specified amount.

This proposal has been costed as a specified amount.

Where relevant, include separate identification of revenue and expense components.

Not applicable.

Where appropriate, include a range for the costing or sensitivity analysis.

Not applicable.



For more information see www.electioncostings.gov.au/copyright-notice-2

Qualifications to the costing (including reasons for the costing not being comprehensive).

As specified in the costing request, funding of \$250,000 per year over four years will be provided. Funding in the financial implications table above do not sum due to rounding.

It is assumed that funding will be provided as a grant.

Where relevant, explain effects of departmental expenses.

As specified in the costing request, any departmental costs associated with administering this policy will be met from within the existing resources of the Department of Agriculture and Water Resources.

Where relevant, explain the reason for any significant differences between the assumptions specified in a party costing request and those used in a Treasury or Finance costing.

Not applicable.

Other comments (including reasons for significant differences between the estimated impact on the fiscal and underlying cash balances).

Not applicable.

Background information

Costing methodology used:

Not applicable.

Behavioural assumptions used (as appropriate).

Not applicable.

