



Australian Government

Department of Finance

The Treasury

PUBLIC RELEASE OF 2016 ELECTION COMMITMENT COSTING

Name of proposal costed: The Turnbull Government and Springboard Delivering More Jobs in Adelaide	
Costing Identifier:	COA 029
Summary of costing:	<p>The commitment is to provide \$10.0 million over the Forward Estimates for a second pilot of the Springboard project to be delivered in South Australia, in collaboration with Uniting Care Australia.</p> <p>The project would provide training, job experience and mentoring to prepare participants for employment, while also addressing demand for aged care and community service workers.</p>
Person making the request:	Prime Minister
Date costing request received:	17/06/2016
Date of public release of policy:	15/06/2016
Date costing completed:	24/06/2016
Additional information requested (including date):	Not applicable.
Additional information received (including date):	Not applicable.

Financial implications (outturn prices) ^(a)^(b)

Impact on	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Underlying Cash Balance (\$m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Fiscal Balance (\$m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

(a) A positive number for the fiscal balance indicates an increase in revenue or a decrease in expenses or net capital investment in accrual terms. A positive number for the underlying cash balance indicates an increase in revenue or a decrease in expenses or net capital investment in cash terms.

(b) The cost of this proposal (\$10.0 million over the forward estimates) is fully offset.

Where relevant, state that the proposal has been costed as a defined or specified amount.

The commitment has been costed as a specified amount of \$10.0 million over the forward estimates to be met from within the existing resources of the Department of Employment, as per the costing request.



For more information see www.electioncostings.gov.au/copyright-notice-2

Where relevant, include separate identification of revenue and expense components.

Not applicable.

Where appropriate, include a range for the costing or sensitivity analysis.

Not applicable.

Qualifications to the costing (including reasons for the costing not being comprehensive).

Not applicable.

Where relevant, explain effects of departmental expenses.

As specified in the costing request, departmental expenses relating to this project will be met from within the existing resources of the Department of Employment.

Where relevant, explain the reason for any significant differences between the assumptions specified in a party costing request and those used in a Treasury or Finance costing.

Not applicable.

Other comments (including reasons for significant differences between the estimated impact on the fiscal and underlying cash balances).

Not applicable.

Background information

Costing methodology used:

Not applicable.

