



Australian Government

Department of Finance

The Treasury

PUBLIC RELEASE OF 2016 ELECTION COMMITMENT COSTING

Name of proposal costed: Protecting the Rights of Older Australians	
Costing Identifier:	COA 024
Summary of costing:	This policy invests \$15.0 million to develop a national plan to better protect the rights of older Australians, including from all forms of abuse.
Person making the request:	Prime Minister
Date costing request received:	17/06/2016
Date of public release of policy:	15/06/2016
Date costing completed:	24/06/2016
Additional information requested (including date):	Not applicable.
Additional information received (including date):	Not applicable.

Financial implications (outturn prices)^(a)

Impact on	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Underlying Cash Balance (\$m)	-1.0	-7.5	-6.5	0.0
Fiscal Balance (\$m)	-1.0	-7.5	-6.5	0.0

(a) A positive number for the fiscal balance indicates an increase in revenue or a decrease in expenses or net capital investment in accrual terms. A positive number for the underlying cash balance indicates an increase in revenue or a decrease in expenses or net capital investment in cash terms.

Where relevant, state that the proposal has been costed as a defined or specified amount.

The proposal has been costed as a defined amount (\$15.0 million over three years). It is assumed that each component as described in the costing is split equally over the years described.

The national prevalence study and related research is \$1.0 million in each of 2016-17 and 2017-18.

The national awareness campaign is \$2.0 million in each of 2017-18 and 2018-19.

The national elder abuse hotline is \$3.5 million in each of 2017-18 and 2018-19.

The pilot training programmes for frontline staff is \$1.0 million in each of 2017-18 and 2018-19.



For more information see www.electioncostings.gov.au/copyright-notice-2

Where relevant, include separate identification of revenue and expense components.

Not applicable.

Where appropriate, include a range for the costing or sensitivity analysis.

Not applicable.

Qualifications to the costing (including reasons for the costing not being comprehensive).

Not applicable.

Where relevant, explain effects of departmental expenses.

As specified in the costing request, all funding for this policy is departmental.

Where relevant, explain the reason for any significant differences between the assumptions specified in a party costing request and those used in a Treasury or Finance costing.

Not applicable.

Other comments (including reasons for significant differences between the estimated impact on the fiscal and underlying cash balances).

Not applicable.

Background information

Costing methodology used:

Not applicable.

Behavioural assumptions used (as appropriate).

Not applicable.

