



Australian Government
Department of Finance
The Treasury

PUBLIC RELEASE OF 2019 ELECTION COMMITMENT COSTING

Name of proposal costed: Promoting the Importance of Bees to Agricultural Production	
Costing Identifier:	COA010
Summary of costing:	The proposal is to provide \$1.5 million over two years to promote the importance of bees in agriculture and their impact on the food chain. The proposal would be coordinated by Agrifutures Australia, who would also work closely with apiarist organisations.
Ongoing or Terminating (including date) (a)	Terminating 30 June 2021.
Person making the request:	Prime Minister.
Date costing request received:	9 May 2019.
Date of public release of policy:	24 April 2019.
Date costing completed:	14 May 2019.
Additional information requested (including date):	Not applicable.
Additional information received (including date):	Not applicable.

(a) Ongoing policies continue indefinitely (until a decision is made to cease or alter the program). Terminating measures end on a date set out in the initial policy and a further decision is required to continue the program beyond this date.

Financial implications (outturn prices) (b)

Impact on	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
Underlying Cash Balance (\$m)	0.0	-0.8	-0.8	0.0	0.0
Fiscal Balance (\$m)	0.0	-0.8	-0.8	0.0	0.0

Note totals do not add to \$1.5 million due to rounding.

(b) A positive number for the fiscal balance indicates an increase in revenue or a decrease in expenses or net capital investment in accrual terms. A positive number for the underlying cash balance indicates an increase in revenue or a decrease in expenses or net capital investment in cash terms.

Where relevant, state that the proposal has been costed as a defined or specified amount.

The proposal has been costed as a specified amount.

Where relevant, include separate identification of revenue and expense components.

Not applicable.

Where appropriate, include a range for the costing or sensitivity analysis.

Not applicable.

Qualifications to the costing (including reasons for the costing not being comprehensive).

Not applicable.

Where relevant, explain effects of departmental expenses.

Consistent with the costing request, the costing assumes that departmental delivery costs would be absorbed by the Department of Agriculture and Water Resources.

Where relevant, explain the reason for any significant differences between the assumptions specified in a party costing request and those used in a Treasury or Finance costing.

Not applicable.

Other comments (including reasons for significant differences between the estimated impact on the fiscal and underlying cash balances).

Where relevant, include an explanation of the medium term implications of the proposal^(c).

Not applicable.

(c) Information on the medium term implications will be provided if the cost of the policy is expected to be significantly different beyond the forward estimates period.

Background information

Costing methodology used:

Not applicable.

Behavioural assumptions used (as appropriate).

Not applicable.